

# VACCINATIONS--PART 3

## Newspaper headline--July/95--Radcliff, Kentucky

[Home](#)  
[Breeder's Directory](#)  
[Article Index](#)  
[Journey Into Learning](#)  
[News and Views](#)  
[Important Info about NR News](#)  
[Ordering Info](#)  
[How to contact us](#)  
[Search](#)  
[Read Me](#)  
[Catalogue](#)

### Journey Into Learning

[Introduction](#)  
[Nutrition And Supplements](#)  
[Diet](#)  
[Vaccinations](#)  
[Homeopathy Basics](#)  
[Homotoxicology - Some Basics](#)  
[Fundamentals of Homotoxicology](#)  
[Redefining The Modern Dog](#)  
[Steroids: The Great Pretender](#)  
[The Rise \(and Fall\) Of Antibiotics](#)  
[Can Antibiotics Cause Infections](#)  
[BioSET New Millenium Medicine](#)  
[Bioset - Page 2](#)  
[Bioset - Page 3](#)

### "Parvo Epidemic"

Conversation with Dr. Jean Dodds--July/95-- "I recently returned from the East Coast of Canada where there is a serious outbreak of distemper"

I could go on to list a large number of incidents both recent and historical, which should cause you to seriously question the belief that "Vaccinations are the only practical and dependable way to prevent both epidemics and potentially dangerous diseases".

However these two examples alone will serve to make the point that the dogs involved were fully vaccinated yet obviously succumbed to dangerous diseases of epidemic proportions. We are not talking about isolated breakdowns here, but of total failure to immunize with conventional vaccination, a large population of animals.

Yet this "belief" is so totally accepted that there is virtually no funding available for research into any other form of immunization. That there are "other" methods that have been used for centuries in many cultures, is ignored by the allopathic community, due directly to the strength of the "unquestionable" belief in man made vaccination.

To question this is to question the whole "germ theory" of disease and would certainly upset the applecart of present day medicine. Unthinkable!!

Unthinkable?? Maybe yes, maybe no. This website is dedicated to breeders that choose to think for themselves. Again, we have to go back a ways and re-examine the foundation of "accepted" theory and see if it is valid or if any other "theory" may prove just as "valid".

As mentioned previously, it was the pioneering work of Louis Pasteur that formulated our present day theory. He held that

each infectious disease was caused by a specific micro-organism invading the body.

Around the same time that ideas on germ theory were being developed, certain basic concepts of the mechanisms of immunity were also being put forth. It was established that foreign particles such as bacteria and viruses are recognized by specialized cells that function by producing antibodies that are able to attach to foreign particles present, and render them harmless.

In their time, these were revolutionary concepts that appeared to remove the 'mystery' of how disease was transmitted and how the body created a defense mechanism against attack from microbes.

These ideas seem to fit human nature and were in agreement with the mechanistic theories popular in the 19th century.

It is important to stress that, at this stage, vaccination and the ideas on biological immunity, were theoretical concepts. Their relevance to real life situations were not yet known.

The logical next step was to develop a way to make sure that the body developed the "right" antibodies in sufficient strength, to ensure that a specific 'germ' would be totally wiped out if it dared to attack a "protected" body.

From a commercial and practical point of view, it appeared far easier to concentrate on attacking microbes than to be responsible for our total state of health in terms of diet, hygiene, etc. The immunization procedure was, and still is based on a very simplistic model of immunity.

Modern science and in particular, research in the last few years, has revealed that a whole host of mechanisms in the body are used to deal with foreign agents and the body's own toxic by-products. Under close investigation, the simplistic model of immunity does not stand up. The antibody response is only a small part of an extremely complex arrangement of immune responses.

The presence of antibodies has historically been the easiest way of detecting some sort of immune activity, but it is not necessarily indicative of a healthy immune response

Pasteur's germ theory can be seen as a step towards understanding the nature of disease, but it is, in essence, a half-truth. If this theory is faulty, what other theory can shed some light of understanding and be confirmed by modern science?

It is well documented that the original scientific research was carried out by a contemporary of Pasteur, Antoine Bechamp. It was from Bechamp's work that Pasteur formulated his theory. However, Bechamp continued his work in this field and went on to show how the agents of disease are present in every single cell and that disease is NOT caused primarily from INVADING micro organisms, but by changes in the constituents of the cells first. He termed this "the soil of disease".

Thus, the theories explaining the cause of infectious disease split into the so-called orthodox and alternative views.

The orthodox view maintains that disease starts with the introduction of micro-organisms into the body. I don't have to belabor this view as this is what we have all been taught.

The alternative theory is that the body degenerates into a diseased state first, and subsequently allows the proliferation of bacteria and viruses. All the latest research supports this theory!!

When the body degenerates to a certain point, external micro-organisms are attracted and able to infect and multiply, thus causing secondary complications. These infecting micro-organisms are not the cause of the original condition.

In other words, there may be a few flies around a clean area, but if the area is like an open garbage can, it certainly provides the "soil" for a proliferation of flies.

Even Pasteur in his later years had to admit the truth in this and eventually conceded that 'the germ is nothing, the soil is everything'.

In order to contract a particular disease, it is necessary for the individual to be susceptible to that disease, by having the correct internal condition that allows the micro-organism to thrive and multiply.

The real causes of disease are a combination of factors such as inherited tendencies, pollution, sanitation, diet, drugs and mental or emotional stress--all of which affect the body according to each individuals' susceptibility.

The presence or absence of antibodies is not the indicator of resistance to disease. Recent studies have shown individuals resistant to disease with very few or no antibodies, and those who have developed the disease, with high antibody counts.

Recent research into AIDS also shows that those individuals in contact with HIV, (the virus thought to be responsible for AIDS), that have remained healthy for many years, have developed a primary immune response to HIV--without antibodies!! Conversely those that deteriorate to a condition that often leads to death, have a high antibody count.

The agents for disease are present in all individuals in the form of genes, bacteria and viruses. Normally they function in maintaining the health of the body, but under certain conditions they can be activated to bring on the symptoms of disease.

They can become pathogenic (disease-producing) when their environment changes due to toxins, poor nutrition or chemical changes from mental and emotional stress, etc. Pathogenic genes can be switched on that were previously dormant. Bacteria and viruses can also change, from those that maintain health, to those that have pathological effects.

For example, the same intestinal bacteria necessary for digestion in humans (bacillus coli) can, under certain conditions of poor nutrition, be changed into the destructive bacteria of typhoid (bacillus typhus).

More and more studies and reports are being printed in prestigious medical journals regarding the ability of viruses to change or 'mutate' under certain conditions. A May 1995 report described the specific genetic changes of a virus from a benign form to a deadly form due directly to a deficiency of Selenium and Vitamin E.

Is it possible that these 'mutated' forms of Parvo the vets keep telling us about, are caused by a nutritional deficiency?? Science has proven it happens in people--would it not also be

true with our animals?

If Bechamps' theory is correct (which only in the last few years has science begun to support), it becomes obvious that we must pay more attention to the mechanisms of the primary immune system--our natural first line of defense--and stop relying on present vaccination protocols to do a job that is virtually impossible because it is based on a faulty theory of disease!!

By neglecting the 'soil' of disease, vaccination may not only be ineffective in disease prevention but may also be responsible for the widespread and subtle long-term effects of an overloaded and damaged immune system.

By bypassing the primary immune system and injecting a substantial amount of toxic materials directly into the blood, are we not creating the conditions that support disease?

Our primary immune system utilizes the skin and mucous membranes, their fine hairs and mucus; Microbe-killing substances (e.g. gastric hydrochloric acid, lysozyme in saliva, phagocytes and blood lysins from damaged tissue); Normal bacteria that have antibacterial activity; Special white blood cells that ingest bacteria; Interferon secreted by cells that act against viruses; Small lymphocytes that act on virus and virus infected cells.

Are we really so arrogant to believe that we know better than nature and can abandon the primary immune system in favor of a man made vaccine??

If there is one lesson we can draw from studying vaccination literature, it certainly is that nothing can beat natural immunity. Man cannot and will not be smarter than nature. Everything modern medicine so desperately tries to provide basically is already there. We do have immune systems that are infallible if only we allow them to function the way they were designed to function!!

It is an illusion that an immune system has to be artificially stimulated or prepared for any kind of infection. It is already prepared, not in a specific and therefore extremely limited way (as vaccines are supposed to work), but in a general way. A healthy immune system is able to cope with any infection, at any time!!

A healthy body is free of pathogenic microbes because the body's constituents do not support them. Increasing one's level of health should be our foremost concern!! (Part 4)



Feel free to [contact us](#) if you have questions or concerns.

Send mail to [Webmaster](#) with questions or comments about this web site.

Copyright © 2003 **Ambrican Enterprises Ltd.**

Last modified: June 07, 2006